Impact Assessment

Model standards for specialist medical college accreditation of trainsientsings

United Kingdomand Canadandrelevant standards in Australia, as well as utilising subject matter expertise in accreditation, medical education and law

NHPO recommendation 3 states:

The AMC should work with colleges to map specialist medical training site accreditation standards against other key existing standards and relevant legislative requirements in the health system to align and streamline assessments.

The development of the model standards also took this recommendation into account: see 2.2.

2.2 Current college accreditation standards (including any in development) and available evidence on best practice, relevant standards from other national and international health regulators, including the National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards, and other relevant legislation

All existing college accreditation standards, including those currently in development, were considered in the development of the model standards. College accreditation standards were mapped against each other to identify areas of commonality and difference The was a high degree of commonality in the content of college standards, but expression of that content varied considerably. This mapping exercise and its conclusions are documented in Horizon Scan, Specialist Medical Training Accreditation, College documentation review (March 2024).

Mapping exercises were also undertaken in relation to other health serviced standard both in Australia and the Unite ingdom, including the National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards relevant Australian legislation example, work health and safet legislation private health facility legislation. The outcome of these mapping exercises separately documented in Horizon Scan, Specialist Medical Training Accreditation, other health service to standards and legislation (March 2024).

Approaches to accreditation taken by other external bodies were also examined. This is documented in Horizon Scan, Specialist Medical Training Accreditation, Examples of other accreditation organisations and their methodologies (March 2024)

2.3 The objectives and guiding principles of the National Law

The relevant objectives f the Health Practitioner Regulational Law are outlined below and have been considered in the development of the model standards as follows.

Objectives of the National Law	Response	
by ensuring that only health practitioners who are suitably trained and qualified to	The model standards require training settings to meet certain standards so that trainees can meet theining programoutcomes required by college curriculate colleges and their training programs have been accredit by the AMC under the National Law	ed

To facilitate workforce mobility across Australia by reducing the administrative burden for health practitioners wishing to move between participating jurisdictions or to practise in more than one participating jurisdiction.

Objectives of the National Law	Response	
	experience working in multisciplinary teams and/or settings". The standards are directed to ensuring that trainees are able to meet training program outcomes.	
To build the capacity of the Australian health workforce to provide culturally safe health services to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples	The model standard require "trainees[to be] supported in developing specific knowledge and skills to deliver culturally safe care to Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait must also be "supported in providing culturally safe supervision and contributing to a culturally safe environme"nt	
	The model standards support the training of Aboriginal and/or TorresStrait Islander and trainees by requiring risks to the cultural safety of Aboriginal and/or [to be] identified, manage dand recorded.	

To facilitate access to services provided by health practitioners in accordance with the public interest and2 ()]TJ EMC1((r).04 0 0 11C ET /Art72 re).04[(al)-2.3 ()]TJ EMC ET /Artifact BMC 1 g 60

Guiding principlesof National Law	Response	
	providers. They are formed by evidence and best practic to ensure their effectiveness. They are able to be implemented in a procedurally fair manner.	

The scheme is to ensure the development

standards also recognise that training may be networked, and that the settings may not need to provide all training opportunities rainees may rotate across multiple settings to achieve the program requirements

3.8 Whether the standards represent the best regulatory option, in that the benefits of any restrictions in the standards outweigh any regulatory burdens or restrictions on competition and consumer choice

The system for accreditation of programs of study leading to registration as a medical paraestitin Australia is set out ithe National Law. AMC accreditation standards specialist medical programs which apply to specialist medical colleges are appropried the Medical Board of Australia and the Medical Council of New Zealand This impact assessment of the development of the model standards that context at the national standard of the model standards of the mod

procedures. Many of the differences in processes, policies and procedures r to differences in each college's accreditation standards.

It may be possible for colleges to work together to achieve consistency in their accreditation standards, without adopting model standards. However, questionable whether the desired level of consistency could be achievedgh such a process.

Model standards may alsoave benefits in respect of the longrm feasibility of the current model of college accreditatio cost pressures on colleges are increasing, and accreditation is becoming recomplex. A consistent model accreditation framework may provide the basis for colleges to share processes and resources in the future, thereby contributing to sustainability in the long term.

