

logo placement area

Candidate and assessor information

Candidate name	Allan Anderson	Assessor name	Kichu Nair
Date of assessme	nt 20/2/14	Assessor position	Staff supervisor

Patient information

Age of patient	34	Patient gender	f	Setting	Emergency department
Patient's problem(s)	Acute	appendicitis			

Assessment domains	Below expected level	At expected level	Above expected level
Clinical record keeping	1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9
Clinical assessment History and examination	1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9
Clinical assessment Differential diagnosis, summary and problem list	1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9
Management plan Investigations, treatments, and follow up	1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9
Clinical reasoning	1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9
			•

Global rating

Please rate the overall level of performance relative to that expected at PGY1 level.

Global rating

1 2 3

4 | 5 | 6

7 8 9

Assessor's comments

Please describe what was e ective, what could be improved and your overall impression. Must be com

The diagnosis was right. But the candidate did not show a reasonable consideration of differential diagnosis for a young woman presenting with abdominal pain . The differential diagnosis here should have included ectopic pregnancy, Urinary tract infection and PID.

There was no menstrual or sexual history taken or documented.

Pelvic examination and Pregnancy test not done.

The tachycardia of 130 is important and sepsis could have been the reason for this. This was a serious omission.

A CT abdomen should be done only after ruling out pregnancy in this situation. Reasoning for investigations lacking.

Documentation was lacking in details and timeline.

Above concerns discussed with candidate. Candidate to make an action plan with supervisor for further cases.

Signature of assessor:

XXXXXXXXXX

Date: 2 0 / 0 2 / 1 4

Signature of candidate:

XXXXXXXXXX

Date: 2 0 / 0 2 / 1 4